## SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

Acta Cryst. (1994). A50, 793

The heavy-atom problem: a statistical analysis. II. Consequences of the *a priori* knowledge of the noise and heavy-atom powers and use of a correlation function for heavy-atom-site determination. Erratum. By PHILIPPE DUMAS, UPR de Biologie Structurale, Institut de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, 15 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg CEDEX, France

(Received 11 August 1994)

Equation (45) should read

Typesetting errors in the paper by Dumas [Acta Cryst. (1994), A**50**, 537–546] are corrected. On page 538, column 2, line 7,  $\langle F_H^2 \rangle > -\Sigma_H$  should be replaced by  $\langle F_H^2 \rangle - \Sigma_H$ . Equation (34) should read

Abstract

$$P(F_{HN}|F_{H}) = (2F_{HN}/\Sigma_{N}) \exp\left[-(F_{H}^{2} + F_{HN}^{2})/\Sigma_{N}\right] \times I_{0}(2F_{H}F_{HN}/\Sigma_{N}).$$
(34)

$$I(\alpha,\beta) = (1/2\gamma\beta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ k! / (k + \frac{1}{2})! \right] \gamma^{-k}.$$
 (45)

All relevant information is given in the Abstract.

Acta Cryst. (1994). A50, 793

Automated structure analysis in electron crystallography: phase determination with the tangent formula and least-squares refinement. Erratum. By DOUGLAS L. DORSET and MARY P. MCCOURT, Electron Diffraction Department, Medical Foundation of Buffalo, Inc., 73 High Street, Buffalo, NY 14203-1196, USA

(Received 15 August 1994)

Abstractpage 290, the x coordinate for Cl after least-squares refinement<br/>should read -0.181.A typesetting error is corrected in the paper by Dorset &<br/>McCourt [Acta Cryst. (1994), A50, 287-292]. In Table 2 onAll relevant information is given in the Abstract.

Acta Cryst. (1994). A50, 793

On the modelling of neutron diffraction reflections from small single crystals. Erratum. By A. McL. MATHIESON, Division of Materials Science and Technology, CSIRO, Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia, and Chemistry Department, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 3083, Australia, and A. W. STEVENSON, Division of Materials Science and Technology, CSIRO, Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia

(Received 30 August 1994)

Abstractthe phrase '(+, -) or (-, +) configuration' should read '(+, -)<br/>or (+, +) configuration'.A typesetting error is corrected in the paper by Mathieson &<br/>Stevenson [Acta Cryst. (1993), A49, 655–661]. In the Abstract,All relevant information is given in the Abstract.